

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,923	07/21/2003	Sunshine Voelker	CU-3596	5376
7	7590 03/21/2005		EXAMINER	
Edward J. Chalfie			KING, ANITA M	
Suite 1200 120 South Riverside Plaza			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Chicago, IL			3632	
			DATE MAILED: 03/21/200	5

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Y
W		10/623,923	VOELKER, SUNSHINE	
./	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
		Anita M. King	3632	
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communications	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
A SH THE - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu Any (ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 (SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicat period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory re to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by reply received by the Office later than three months after the ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a ion. s, a reply within the statutory minimum of thi period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MO y statute, cause the application to become A	reply be timely filed rty (30) days will be considered timely. NTHS from the mailing date of this communication BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
2a)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on This action is FINAL . 2b) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice un	This action is non-final. Ilowance except for formal mat	·	
Dispositi	ion of Claims			
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with Claim(s) 1-11 is/are allowed. Claim(s) 12-14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	thdrawn from consideration.		
Applicati	ion Papers			
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Extended The drawing(s) filed on 22 December 200 Applicant may not request that any objection Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the of The oath or declaration is objected to by the control of the contr	<u>04</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)☐ to the drawing(s) be held in abeya correction is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). g(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority u	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12)□ a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International Elee the attached detailed Office action for	uments have been received. uments have been received in a e priority documents have been Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage	
Attachmen				
	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94		Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date	
3) Infor	mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ r No(s)/Mail Date		Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Art Unit: 3632

This is the second office action for application number 10/623,923, Computer Copy Holder, filed on July 21, 2003.

Drawings

The drawings were received on December 22, 2004. These drawings are approved.

Claim Objections

Claims 1-13 are objected to because of the following informalities: since the applicant is claiming the combination of the copy holder and notebook computer, it is advise that the applicant amends the claims to better reflect applicant's intent, thus, in claim 1, lines 1-3 should be changed to --In combination a copy holder and a notebook computer, a copy holder to display a copy to a user of the notebook computer, the notebook computer having an outercase, the copy holder comprising:--; "A copy holder" in line 1 of claims 2-12 should be changed to --The combination--; and in claim 13, lines 1-2 the preamble should read --In combination, a copy holder and a notebook computer, the copy holder attached to an outer surface of a lid of the notebook computer, the copy holder comprising:--. Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,923

Art Unit: 3632

Claims 12-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claim 12 has ambiguous claim terminology where it is unclear whether latter recitations of originally cited terminology are intended to refer to the originally cited terms. It is unclear if "a notebook computer," in line 2 is intended to refer to the original recitation of the term "a notebook computer," bridging lines 1 and 2 of claim 1. The latter recitation should be changed to --the notebook computer--.

Claim 13 recites the limitations "said pair of spaced apart slide supports" bridging lines 6-7 and "said cover" in line 9. There is insufficient antecedent basis for these limitations in the claim.

Claim 14 recites the limitation "said pair of spaced apart slide supports" bridging lines 3-4. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.

Claim 14 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U.S. Patent 5,987,794 to Lavi et al., hereinafter, Lavi. Lavi discloses a copy holder comprised of a pair of slide supports (30, 40), at least one panel (200) secured to and between upper and lower support members (204), the upper and lower support members being slidably retained by the pair of slide supports so that the upper and lower supports can be

Art Unit: 3632

moved relative to the pair of slide supports, and means (150) for affixing the pair of slide supports to a surface.

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1-11 are allowed.

Claim 12 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Claim 13 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: the combination of a copy holder and a notebook computer wherein an upper horizontal channel and a lower horizontal channel are affixed to an outercase of the notebook computer and at least one panel slidably retained by the slid supports, essentially claimed in independent claims 1 and 13, in combination with the other elements recited in the claims respectively, and which is not found in the prior art of record.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments, see amendment, filed December 22, 2004, with respect to claim 1 have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) of claim 1 has been withdrawn.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. Patent 4,187,630 to Giulie et al.

Giulie et al. disclose a picture frame having sliding rails.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Anita M. King whose telephone number is (703) 308-2162. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday. Note starting April 8, 2005 the examiner may be reached at (571) 272-6817.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Leslie A. Braun can be reached on (703) 308-2156 and after April 8, 2005

Application/Control Number: 10/623,923 Page 6

Art Unit: 3632

can be reached on (571) 272-6815. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Anita M. Kińg // Primary Examiner Art Unit 3632

March 16, 2005